Gold standard for the global goals Monitoring report



June 2017, version 1

### Gold Standard<sup>®</sup>

| <b>A</b>  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Title of the project  | Household Biogas plants installed in rural areas of<br>Maharashtra  |  |  |  |
| Gold Standard project id  | GS 2519   |  |  |  |
| Version number of the monitoring report   | 3.1   |  |  |  |
| Completion date of the monitoring report  | 15/10/2020  |  |  |  |
| Date of project design certification  | 09/05/2014  |  |  |  |
| Start date of crediting period  | 09/05/2012 (Retroactive)  |  |  |  |
| Duration of this monitoring period  | 09/05/2019 to 08/05/2020  |  |  |  |
| Duration of previous monitoring period  | 09/05/2018 to 08/05/2019  |  |  |  |
| Project representative(s)   | Mr. Sandeep Roy Choudhury   |  |  |  |
|   | (Value Network Venture Advisory Services Pte. Ltd)  |  |  |  |
| Host Country  | India   |  |  |  |
| Certification pathway (activity certification/impact certification)   | Pathway 1 (Impact certification-VERs)   |  |  |  |
| SDG Contributions targeted (as per<br>approved PDD)   | 1 – Good health and well-being (SDG 3) – Improvement<br>in air quality, livelihood of poor (effective wate<br>management system), employment and income<br>generation |  |  |  |
|   | 2 – Affordable and Clean Energy (SDG 7)- Clean energy source to all project households  |  |  |  |
|   | 3– Climate Action (SDG 13)-To reduce yearly $48,551$ tCO <sub>2</sub> e GHG emissions   |  |  |  |
| Gold Standard statement/product<br>certification sought (GSVER/ADALYs/RECs<br>etc.)                         |   |  |  |  |
| Selected methodology(ies)   | CDM Small Scale Methodology: AMS I.E Version 05   |  |  |  |
| Estimated amount of annual average<br>certified SDG impact (as per approved<br>PDD)                         | SDG 3: 100% project biogas users are targeted to access improved air quality & improved livelihood. The project targeted to generate employments.                     |  |  |  |
|   | SDG 7: 100% project biogas users are targeted to access clean energy sources  |  |  |  |
|   | SDG 13: 48,551 tCO2/year  |  |  |  |
| Total amount of certified SDG impact (as<br>per approved methodology) achieved in<br>this monitoring period | SDG 13: 44,313 tCO2   |  |  |  |

### SECTION A. Description of project

#### A.1. Purpose and general description of project

>>

The aim of the project is to replace the commonly used inefficient wood fired mud stoves technology, with clean, sustainable and efficient biogas. The purpose of the project activity is to bundle 12,474 plants installed in rural areas of Maharashtra of varying capacities – 2m3, 3m3, 4m3 and 6m3. All 12,474 plants are commissioned in between January 2009- Dec 2011

Each household utilizes the dung of its cows to feed the digester for the production of biogas for cooking purpose and heating water. This leads to reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by displacing conventionally used non renewable biomass with renewable biogas. In addition, the hygienic conditions in the rural areas to be improved by an appropriate disposal of waste. Further, residue from the bio digesters can be used as organic fertilizer and which improves soil conditions in rural areas.

Project activity contributes towards sustainable development by replacing firewood with biogas generated from the biodigesters. Major milestones of the project implementation are as below:

First batch of project biogas start date: 01/01/2009 (22 biogas digesters were commissioned) Final date by when all bio-digesters were commissioned: 12/12/2011 Registration of the project at Gold Standard Registration: 09/05/2014 Operational lifetime: 15 years Continued operational years since first batch commissioning: 10 years Effective number of plants as on 08/05/2019: 12,390

#### Pre project Scenario:

Household survey was conducted to assess the baseline fuel and quantity used. As per the baseline survey, firewood was the main fuel used to suffice domestic needs. It was sourced from nearby forests and open market. Families had to walk 2-5 km to collect this firewood as Maharashtra, like many other regions of India, is a firewood deficit region. Usage of inefficient firewood leads to indoor pollution and land use patterns have been showing a decrease in forest land cover and increase in degraded land. Increasing pressure from human and livestock population and indiscriminate and illegal exploitation of forest resources are among factors that have lead to further intensification of the problem. A trend of forests turning into open scrubs has been observed. Degradation of forest lands has exacerbated the already existing problem of desertification. There is a need to maintain adequate forest cover in the state to mitigate climate change effects.

#### Project Scenario:

Project activity involves bundling of 12,474 plants installed in rural areas of Maharashtra installed between Jan 2009 and December 2011.

The size of the biodigesters varies, depending on the number of people and number of cattles available per household. A detailed breakdown of the plants with the respective installed capacity is given below in Table 1.

Table 1. Breakdown of the plants with the respective installed capacity

| Sr. No | Capacity | Number of plants |
|--------|----------|------------------|
|        | (m3)     |                  |
| 1      | 2        | 5,229            |
| 2      | 3        | 7,068            |
| 3      | 4        | 153              |

| 4     | 6 | 24       |
|-------|---|----------|
| Total |   | 12,474** |

Revised plants:

| Sr. No | Capacity<br>(m3) | Number of plants |
|--------|------------------|------------------|
| 1      | 2                | 5,198            |
| 2      | 3                | 7,026            |
| 3      | 4                | 143              |
| 4      | 6                | 23               |
| Total  |                  | 12,390           |

\*\*It has been identified that there were some wring entries (repetition of same unique number of 42 biodigesters in the main list. On a conservative side all total 84 digesters are removed from the list and therefore, total number revised to 12,390. This has been removed effective from 09/05/2016 and emissions reductions are not claimed from 09/05/2016 onwards for the removed plants.

#### A.2. Location of project

>> Host Country: India

The project activity is located in Maharashtra and geo coordinates of the districts are given below.

| Table 2: Project location |  |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

| S.No | Districts   | Geo coordinates                         |
|------|-------------|---|
| 1    | Ahmadnagar  | 18° 02' N -19° 09' N & 73°90'E -75°50'E |
| 2    | Aurangabad  | 24° 09' N -25° 70' N & 84°00'E -85°50'E |
| 3    | Beed        | 18° 28' N -18° 29' N & 74°57'E -76°57'E |
| 4    | Bhandra     | 20° 39' N -21° 38' N & 79°27'E -80°42'E |
| 5    | Chandrapur  | 18° 04' N -20° 05' N & 78°50'E -80°60'E |
| 6    | Gadchiroli  | 18° 43' N -21° 50' N & 79°45'E -80°53'E |
| 7    | Gondiya     | 20° 39' N -21° 38' N & 79°27'E -80°42'E |
| 8    | Kolhapur    | 16° 42' N -16° 69' N & 74°16'E -74°24'E |
| 9    | Nagpur      | 21° 91' N -21° 92' N & 79°45'E -79°49'E |
| 10   | Nasik       | 20° 00' N -20° 08' N & 73°47'E -73°79'E |
| 11   | Pune        | 18° 31' N -18° 52' N & 73°51'E -73°85'E |
| 12   | Sangli      | 16° 51' N -16° 85' N & 74°33'E -74°56'E |
| 13   | Satara      | 17° 36' N -17° 60' N & 74°24'E -74°40'E |
| 14   | Sindhu durg | 16° 10' N -16° 18' N & 73°44'E -73°74'E |
| 15   | Solapur     | 17° 40' N -17° 68' N & 75°55'E -75°92'E |
| 16   | Wardha      | 20° 44' N -20° 74' N & 78°36'E -78°60'E |

### A.3. Reference of applied methodology

>> Type: Type I – Renewable energy project

 Methodology:
 AMS I.E - Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user

 Version:
 05

 Reference:
 https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/SO8OOGYGWHMXM287RBNKEYAMN9EUN0

### A.4. Crediting period of project

>> Project start date: 01/01/2009 Length of crediting period: 09/05/2012 to 08/05/2022

### SECTION B. Implementation of project

#### B.1. Description of implemented project

>>

The project activity involved installation and operation of 12,474 bio digesters (revised to 12,390) of capacities of 2m3, 3m3, 4m3 and 6m3, at individual households, thus avoiding the use of nonrenewable biomass i.e. fuel wood from forests in the baseline scenario. The project activity is spread across 16 districts in the state of Maharashtra in India. All the 12,474 biodigesters commissioned between 01/01/2009 to 12/12/2011. However, it was noted that unique ID of 42 bio-digesters are overlapped and therefore, on a conservative side total 84 digesters are removed from the list and therefore, total number revised to 12,390. This has been removed effective from 09/05/2016 and emissions reductions are not claimed from 09/05/2016 onwards for the removed plants. All 12,390 plants are in operation during the monitoring period.

The project activity involved the installation of fixed-dome digesters which consist of one lower segment (digester) and a hemisphere over it which functions as a gas holder. The "feed" is fed into the digester via the inlet pipe and undergoes digestion in the digestion chamber. Anaerobic digestion takes place in the bio-digesters in which microorganisms break down biodegradable material (primarily cow dung) in the absence of oxygen. This process produces methane (CH4) rich biogas which is used as fuel in gas burner replacing non-renewable firewood for cooking applications.

All plants are centrally managed by Adivasi Khadi Avom Krishi Prashikshan Sansthan (AKKPS) although jointly installed by AKKPS partner agencies. If there is any breakdown reported for any of the digesters the same is attended at the earliest possible time. Breakdowns are recorded as part of grievance mechanism and based on annual survey any result from any of the system is applied to entire population conservatively. This is evident in emission reduction worksheet and survey report. Therefore, PP is considering a more conservative approach to claim emission reduction.

### Gold Standard<sup>®</sup>

B.2. Post-registration changes

B.2.1. Temporary deviations from Certified Key Project Information, Project Design Document, Monitoring & Reporting Plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

>> N/A

#### B.2.2. Corrections

>> N/A

B.2.3. Changes to start date of crediting period

>> N/A

B.2.4. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

>> N/A

B.2.5. Changes to project design of approved project

>> N/A

### SECTION C. Description of monitoring system applied by the project

>>

The primary monitoring parameter for the project activity is the operational status of biogas systems covered in the project activity which is done in two ways: 1) AKKPS does six monthly operation and maintenance of all biogas systems to check whether all plants are functioning or not and repair if any functional problem exist; 2) Third party survey covering the monitoring period is conducted to monitor all the monitoring parameters required as per the monitoring plan, methodology and all non-neutral sustainable development parameters.

AKKPS do provide regular service to the plant owners. In case of malfunctioning of the biodigester, plant owner informs AKKPS or any of its representatives. AKKPS do inspect the plant and resolve the problem at earliest.

Continuous grievance mechanism: AKKPS do maintain a system to record and address any grievance related to project activity. The system includes the followings:

- periodic service of bio-digester
- provide contact details of local maintenance team to record and address any complaint
- maintaining log book at AKKPS office to record and address any complaint

#### Annual Survey:

Third party survey was conducted by Gramodyog Sanstan (<u>http://www.gramodyog.in/</u>) between 5th June 2020 to 20 June 2020 to ascertain monitoring results covering the monitoring period. Results of the survey have been incorporated in the monitoring report and emission reduction

worksheet. Gramodyog Sanstan is renown in various activities including household biogas construction and monitoring and therefore highly competent to carry out the survey. The team engaged for primary data collection is competent for survey.

### SECTION D. Data and parameters

#### D.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

(Copy this table for each piece of data and parameter)

| Relevant SDG Indicator                                     | SDG 13   | SDG 13                                  |   |                            |  |
|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| Data/parameter:  | Dy   | Dy                                      |   |                            |  |
| Unit   | Kg/month   |   |   |                            |  |
| Description  | Firewood con   | sumption before bioga                   | as plants installation                  |                            |  |
| Source of data   | Survey   |   |   |                            |  |
| Value(s) applied)  | Size   | Before                                  | After                                   | Amount of                  |  |
|  | 3126   | installation of<br>Biogas<br>plants(Dy) | installation of<br>Biogas<br>plants(Py) | firewood<br>displaced (By) |  |
|  | 2  | 230                                     | 4                                       | 226                        |  |
|  | 3  | 334                                     | 7                                       | 327                        |  |
|  | 4  | 434                                     | 10                                      | 425                        |  |
|  | 6  | 650                                     | 40                                      | 610                        |  |
| Choice of data<br>or measurement methods<br>and procedures | Survey was conducted to know the firewood consumption pattern<br>Environment and Energy Management Group, Bhopal             |   |   |                            |  |
| Purpose of data  | By (Total amount of biomass substituted) = Dy (fixed as per baseline) - Py<br>(project firewood usage is monitored annually) |   |   |                            |  |
| Additional comments  |  |   |   |                            |  |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                                     | SDG 13   |
|--|--|
| Data/parameter:  | f <sub>NRB,y</sub>                                 |
| Unit   | %  |
| Description  | Fraction of Non Renewable Biomass                  |
| Source of data   | Calculated   |
| Value(s) applied)  | 93%  |
| Choice of data<br>or measurement methods<br>and procedures | Fraction of Non-renewable biomass was calculated   |
| Purpose of data  | Baseline, project and leakage emissions estimation |
| Additional comments  |  |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                                     | 13 (Climate Action)   |
|--|---|
| Data/parameter:  | NCV <sub>biomass</sub>  |
| Unit   | TJ/tonne  |
| Description  | Net Calorific Value of non-renewable biomass  |
| Source of data   | IPCC  |
| Value(s) applied)  | 0.015 TJ/tonne  |
| Choice of data<br>or measurement methods<br>and procedures | Default Value obtained from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. |
| Purpose of data  | Baseline emissions estimation.  |
| Additional comments  |   |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                                     | 13 (Climate Action)   |
|--|---|
| Data/parameter:  | EFprojected_fossil fue  |
| Unit   | tCO2/TJ   |
| Description  | Emission factor for the substitution of non-renewable woody biomass   |
| Source of data   | IPCC  |
| Value(s) applied)  | 81.6 tCO2/TJ  |
| Choice of data<br>or measurement methods<br>and procedures | Default Value obtained from methodology "AMS-IE, Switch from<br>non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user", Ver-05 |
| Purpose of data  | Baseline emissions estimation   |
| Additional comments  |   |

### D.2. Data and parameters monitored

(Copy this table for each piece of data and parameter)

| Relevant SDG Indicator      | SDG 13 (linked to SDG target 13. 2 and 13.3)   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Data/parameter:             | Displacement or substitution of the non-renewable woody biomass  |
| Unit                        | kg   |
| Description                 | Monitoring should confirm the displacement or substitution of the non-renewable woody biomass at each location |
| Measured/calculated/default | Measured   |
| Source of data              | Survey   |

| Value(s) of monitored                     |   |   |  |   |   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| parameter                                 | Size  | Before<br>installation of<br>Biogas<br>plants(Dy) | After<br>installation<br>of Biogas<br>plants(Py) | Amount of<br>firewood<br>displaced<br>(By)                          |   |
|   | 2m3   | 230   | 15   | 215   |   |
|   | 3m3   | 334   | 15   | 319   |   |
|   | 4m3   | 434   | 20   | 414   |   |
|   | 6m3   | 650   | 25   | 625   |   |
| Monitoring equipment                      | system in r   | not in operation in a<br>rom the range is take    | given month. C                                   | consumption when k<br>on a conservative sid<br>duction calculation. | • |
| Measuring/reading/recording<br>frequency: | At least once every two years (biennial).<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020   |   |  |   |   |
| Calculation method<br>(if applicable):    | Not Applicable  |   |  |   |   |
| QA/QC procedures:                         | Third party survey conducted covering the monitoring period. Samples are selected considering 90/10 confidence precision and following UNFCCC sampling standard.  |   |  |   |   |
| Purpose of data:                          | Baseline emissions estimation   |   |  |   |   |
| Additional comments:                      | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2. For 6 m3 plant, only one sample was surveyed which showed zero firewood consumption and hence on conservative size, previous year survey value is used. |   |  |   |   |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                    | SDG 13 (linked to SDG target 13. 2 and 13.3)   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Data/parameter:                           | Checking of sampled biogas plants  |  |  |
| Unit                                      | %  |  |  |
| Description                               | Monitoring consist of checking of representative sample, to ensure that biodidgesters operating or are replaced by an equivalent in service appliance. |  |  |
| Measured/calculated/default               | default Calculated   |  |  |
| Source of data                            | Survey   |  |  |
| Value(s) of monitored parameter           | 100% . All sampled bio-digesters found operational during survey.<br>Therefore, 100% plants are in operation during the monitoring period.             |  |  |
| Monitoring equipment Not Applicable       |  |  |  |
| Measuring/reading/recording<br>frequency: | At least once every two years (biennial)<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020   |  |  |

## Gold Standard<sup>®</sup>

| Calculation method<br>(if applicable): | Not Applicable   |
|--|--|
| QA/QC procedures:                      | Third party survey conducted covering the monitoring period.   |
| Purpose of data:                       | Baseline emissions estimation  |
| Additional comments:                   | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2 |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                    | SDG 13 (linked to SDG target 13. 2 and 13.3)  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Data/parameter:                           | Amount of firewood saved under the project activity that is used by non-<br>project households/users  |  |
| Unit                                      | Tonne/year  |  |
| Description                               | In order to assess the leakages specified under paragraph 10 of AMS IE, version 05, monitoring shall include data on the amount of woody biomass saved under the project activity that is used by non-project households/users (who previously used renewable energy sources).  |  |
| Measured/calculated/default               | Calculated  |  |
| Source of data                            | Survey  |  |
| Value(s) of monitored<br>parameter        | During this monitoring period leakage is accounted considering default<br>factor as per applied methodology. Hence, survey did not capture the<br>parameter. This parameter is applicable for accounting leakage emissions<br>which is accounted applying default leakage factor (0.95) during this<br>monitoring parameter |  |
| Monitoring equipment                      | Not Applicable  |  |
| Measuring/reading/recording<br>frequency: | At least once every two years (biennial)<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020  |  |
| Calculation method<br>(if applicable):    | Not Applicable  |  |
| QA/QC procedures:                         | Since, default approach is applied as per methodology, no QA/QC is applicable.  |  |
| Purpose of data:                          | Leakage estimation  |  |
| Additional comments:                      | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2  |  |

| Relevant SDG Indicator          | SDG 3 (linked to SDG target 3.9)  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Data/parameter:                 | Air quality   |  |
| Unit                            | Qualitative   |  |
| Description                     | Improvement in air quality  |  |
| Measured/calculated/de<br>fault | Response is calculated in percentage terms. Survey considered 76 end users.<br>Response from each user on ambient air quality and impact on health post<br>project activity was asked and positive feedback is considered during the<br>monitoring period. The results are given below and also in emission reduction<br>worksheet. |  |
| Source of data                  | Sampling survey/annual usage survey/monitoring survey   |  |

| Value(s) of monitored  |   |                    |                         |                  |
|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| parameter  |   | Sample surveyed    | Number of users         | Percentage terms |
|  | District  | (all sizes)        | responded positively    |                  |
|  | Ambient air   | quality            |                         |                  |
|  | Bhandara  | 42                 | 39                      | 93%              |
|  | Kolhapur  | 34                 | 34                      | 100%             |
|  | Impact on h   | iealth             |                         |                  |
|  | Bhandara  | 42                 | 35                      | 83%              |
|  | Kolhapur  | 34                 | 32                      | 94%              |
| Monitoring equipment   | Not Applicat  | ble                |                         |                  |
| Measuring/reading/recor Annual   |   |                    |                         |                  |
| ding frequency:  | Previous mor  | nitoring: May 2019 |                         |                  |
|  | Present moni  | itoring: June 2020 |                         |                  |
| Calculation method   | Not Applicat  | ble                |                         |                  |
| (if applicable):   |   |                    |                         |                  |
| QA/QC procedures:  | Third party survey was conducted to check the functionality rate of biogas plants |                    |                         |                  |
| '  | during the monitoring period and if the biogas plants are functional, this        |                    |                         |                  |
|  | confirms the air quality is improved  |                    |                         |                  |
| Purpose of data:   | SD impact assessment  |                    |                         |                  |
| Additional comments: Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting al |   |                    | al reporting also meets |                  |
|  | GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2                            |                    |                         |                  |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                    | SDG 3 (linked to SDG target 3.9)  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Data/parameter:                           | Livelihood of poor  |  |
| Unit                                      | Numbers   |  |
| Description                               | Number of families have access to effective waste management system (biogas system) under the project   |  |
| Measured/calculated/default               | Measured  |  |
| Source of data                            | Survey. Survey to ensure number of project biogas system in operation<br>which ensures the number of families accessed to effective wast<br>management system which in term justifies that livelihood of those families<br>are improved. Prior to the project activity cow dung was left to decay in the<br>open areas without proper handling. This causes bad odour and was<br>breeding ground for flies and mosquitoes. As a result of which chances of<br>diseases like malaria and other diseases were high. |  |
| Value(s) of monitored parameter           | 12,390 families continue to utilize waste effectively. 88% users reported improved in livelihood due to the project activity.   |  |
| Monitoring equipment                      | Not applicable  |  |
| Measuring/reading/recording<br>frequency: | Annual<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020  |  |
| Calculation method<br>(if applicable):    | Not applicable  |  |
| QA/QC procedures:                         | Survey was conducted to check the proper functioning of sampled biodigesters utilizing cow dung& other organic waste as feed  |  |
| Purpose of data:                          | SD Assessment   |  |

| Additional comments: | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also |
|----------------------|---|
|                      | meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2            |

| Relevant SDG Indicator                 | SDG 7 (linked to SDG target 7.1 and 7.2)   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Data/parameter:                        | Access to clean and affordable energy  |  |  |
| Unit                                   | Numbers  |  |  |
| Description                            | Number of biogas system operational under the project activity   |  |  |
| Measured/calculated/default            | Sample survey to confirm if project biogas systems are operational.<br>Operational status confirms that the users are accessed to affordable and<br>clean energy |  |  |
| Source of data                         | Survey   |  |  |
| Value(s) of monitored<br>parameter     | All 12,390 biogas plants are working. 100% users agree that biogas digester is clean and affordable energy source compared to other available options.           |  |  |
| Monitoring equipment                   | Not Applicable   |  |  |
| Measuring/reading/recording frequency: | Annual<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020   |  |  |
| Calculation method<br>(if applicable): | N/A  |  |  |
| QA/QC procedures:                      | Third party survey conducted covering the monitoring period  |  |  |
| Purpose of data:                       | SD Assessment  |  |  |
| Additional comments:                   | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2                             |  |  |

| Relevant SDG Indicator             | SDG 3 (linked to SDG target 3.9)  |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Data/parameter:                    | Quantitative employment and income generation   |  |
| Unit                               | Numbers   |  |
| Description                        | Number of employment generation and income from the project activity  |  |
| Measured/calculated/default        | Monitoring shall provide exact number of employment generated due to<br>the project activity beyond the project and other employment/jobs created<br>due to the project activity (as an effect generated in design, construction,<br>distribution or start-up or decommissioning of the project).   |  |
| Source of data                     | Project Participant/Project proponent   |  |
| Value(s) of monitored<br>parameter | At present 20 jobs are created at various hierarchy level like Supervisors,<br>local<br>technicians and mesons. The 20 employees are created during previous<br>years and not created during this monitoring period. There is no change in<br>staff during the monitoring period. The list of employees responsible for the<br>project is submitted to verification team. |  |

| Monitoring equipment                   | Not Applicable   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Measuring/reading/recording frequency: | Annual<br>Previous monitoring: May 2019<br>Present monitoring: June 2020   |  |
| Calculation method<br>(if applicable): | N/A  |  |
| QA/QC procedures:                      | Payment receipt/ employment record etc.  |  |
| Purpose of data:                       | SD Assessment  |  |
| Additional comments:                   | Annual monitoring is followed and maintained. The annual reporting also meets GS4GG rule under principle and requirement version 1.2 |  |

#### Continuous input/grievance mechanism:

During the monitoring period no negative comment/input received from the stakeholders. The inputs are minor problems related to biogas operation which were rectified within very short span of time. Records of input registry was shown to the verification team. A total of 20 issues related to minor functional issues were reported during the monitoring period which were resolved either same day or within next two days of reporting. Records of registry shown to the verification team.

#### D.3. Implementation of sampling plan

>> As per AMS I E, version 05 - A statistically valid sample where the systems are deployed is selected. As per GUIDELINES FOR SAMPLING AND SURVEYS FOR CDM PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES, EB 69, Annex-5, the project proponent chooses simple random sampling. In this crediting period 90% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement was considered for the sampled parameters. Details given below:

For Annual survey:

90% confidence level with 10% precision error has been considered to calculate the sample size. 90% confidence level with 10% precision error and 0.8 as proportion has been considered. As all 12,390 plants included in project activity were installed by Dec 2011. Third party survey was conducted to check the functionality rate of biogas plants.

Formula used has been given below:

$$n \ge \frac{1.645^2 N \times p(1-p)}{(N-1) \times 0.1^2 \times p^2 + 1.645^2 p(1-p)}$$

| Where<br>n | Sample size  |
|------------|--|
| Ν          | Total number of households (12390)   |
| р          | Our expected proportion (0.80). It has been assumed that 80% of the plants would be functional considering previous survey results where 100% plants were functional |
| 1.64       | Represents the 90% confidence required   |
| 0.1        | Represents the 10% relative precision  |

As per the formula, for current monitoring period 67 households are required to survey. However, on a conservative side, the surveyor took 76 bio-digesters for survey. Details of sample selection is provided in the emission reduction worksheet.

Selection of samples: Following recommendation from GS (GS issuance review 3rd monitoring period covering 09-05-2015 to 08-05-2016) not to repeat the samples considered in previous years for survey, PP has been considering new samples each year for survey. Accordingly, randomly selected samples from the left out biogas plants for survey were considered. However, due to COVID-19 impact severely in the project state, PP chose only two districts which was possible to access by survey team.

PD would like to acknowledge that since 3rd monitoring there was no specific method followed while picking random samples. Concentration was given not to pick same sample. No statistical method either random sample number generator or excel function was used. Specially, current monitoring focused more on selecting easily accessible samples due to COVID-19 impact and surveyor selected easily accessible samples from non-surveyed list. PD would like to ensure that from next verification appropriate method either random sample generator or excel function shall be used to select random samples from the nonsampled households.

#### SECTION E. Calculation of SDG outcomes

#### E.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG outcome

>> SDG Indicator 13:

**Baseline Emissions:** 

The amount of firewood consumed in absence of the project activity accounts the baseline emissions. And amount of firewood saved due to the project activity results the emission reductions. Therefore, annual emission reductions (ERy) in tCO2, during each year of the crediting period are expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{ER}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{y}} * f_{\mathit{NRB}, \mathit{y}} * \mathbf{NCV}_{\mathsf{biomass}} * \mathbf{EF}_{\mathsf{projected\_fossilfuel}}$$

Emission reductions during the year y in tCO2e ERy

By Quantity of woody biomass that is substituted or displaced in tonnes =

fNRB, y =Fraction of woody biomass used in the absence of the project activity in year y

that can be established as non-renewable biomass using survey methods or government data or approved default country specific fraction of non-renewable woody biomass (fNRB) values available on the CDM website

**NCV**biomass Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted (IPCC = default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/tonne)

EFprojected\_fossilfuel = Emission factor for the substitution of non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers. Use a value of 81.6 tCO2/TJ

By is determined by using the following option:

Calculated as the product of the number of appliances multiplied by the estimate of average annual consumption of woody biomass per appliance (tonnes/year); This is estimated using survey methods

|          | 1 13   | ,    | , ,                                     |                                   |
|----------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Size(m3) | Before installation of<br>Biogas plants(fixed<br>for baseline) | After installation of<br>Biogas plants(Py) | Amount of<br>firewood displaced<br>(By) | Total<br>firewood<br>(tonne/year) |
| 2        | 230  | 15   | 215                                     | 13411                             |
| 3        | 334  | 15   | 319                                     | 26896                             |
| 4        | 434  | 20   | 414                                     | 710                               |
| 6        | 650  | 25   | 625                                     | 173                               |
| Total    |  |  |   | 41,189                            |

Amount of Firewood replaced (kg/month) by each plant (As per Survey Report)

Therefore,

 $\mathrm{ER}_{\mathrm{y}} = \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{y}} * f_{\mathit{NRB}, \mathit{y}} * \mathrm{NCV}_{\mathrm{biomass}} * \mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{projected\_fossilfuel}}$ 

= 41,189 \* 93% \* 0.015 \* 81.6 = 46,645 tCO2.

SDG 3: For 'Improvement in health and decrease in illness' the baseline situation is poor due to firing of firewood which generates smoke (poor air quality) and leads to health problems pertaining to smoke. Under livelihood of poor in the baseline scenario, cattle dung was not managed to dispose or use leading to foul smell and unhygienic scenario. For 'quantitative employment and income generation' there was no employment needed to continue the use of firewood in cooking practice in the baseline situation. Survey considered 76 end users. Response from each user on ambient air quality and impact on health post project activity was asked and positive feedback is considered during the monitoring period. The results are given in D.2 of this report and also in emission reduction worksheet.

SDG 7: For 'access to affordable and clean energy services' the baseline scenario can be described as poor due to that fact that firewood based cooking practice is not considered a clean source of energy and less efficient leading of high firewood consumption for desired energy.

#### E.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG outcome

>> SDG Indicator 13:

Project emissions: As per the PDD and applied methodology project emissions from the project activity is already accounted while arriving By value. Therefore, a separate calculation of project emission is not required.

SDG 3: For 'Improvement in health and decrease in illness' a qualitative assessment was done through third party survey and results from end users response shows that health problems related to smoke is reduced. Under waste management, cattle dung being used in digester and slurry coming out the digester is applied as manure in fields which is leading to improved management of cattle dung. 96.5% users reported positive impact in air quality and 88% users reported positive impact on health from smoke related diseases. Also 88% users reported improved waste management (cattle dung) due to the project activity.

SDG 7: For 'access to affordable and clean energy services' the survey result shows that all surveyed plants are in operation which justifies that all project plants are in operation during the monitoring period. This means that the project leads to clean energy services to the users. 100% users agree that biogas digester is clean and affordable energy source compared to other available options.

# E.3. Calculation of net benefits as difference of baseline and project values or direct calculation for each SDG outcome

>> SDG 13:

In line with the applied methodology and PDD, By is multiplied with 0.95 to account leakage. Therefore, the net benefit is

= 46,645 \* 0.95 = 44,313 tCO2e (round down value).

SDG 3: For 'Improvement in health and decrease in illness' a qualitative assessment was done through third party survey and results from end users response shows that health problems related to smoke is reduced.

During the monitoring period 20 employments are retained as previous year due the project activity. Under waste management, cattle dung being used in digester and slurry coming out the digester is applied as manure in fields which is leading to improved management of cattle dung.

SDG 7: For 'access to affordable and clean energy services' the survey result shows that all surveyed plants are in operation which justifies that all project plants are in operation during the monitoring period. This means that the project leads to clean energy services to the users.

### E.4. Summary of ex-post values of each SDG outcome for the current monitoring period

| ltem   | Baseline estimate   | Project estimate | Net benefit  |
|--------|---|------------------|--|
| SDG 13 | 46,645  | 2,332            | 44,313   |
| SDG 3  | 100% users affected<br>with poor indoor air<br>quality, poor waste<br>management (cattle<br>dung) and no<br>employment<br>generation. | Positive impact  | 96.5% users reported<br>positive impact in air quality<br>and 88% users reported<br>positive impact on health<br>from smoke related<br>diseases. Also 88% users<br>reported improved waste<br>management (cattle dung)<br>due to the project activity. |
| SDG 7  | 100% users were<br>using firewood which<br>is not a clean source<br>of energy   | Positive impact  | 100% users agree that<br>biogas digester is clean and<br>affordable energy source<br>compared to other available<br>options.   |

Vintage-wise emission reduction from the monitoring period:

| Period     |    | Baseline Emissions<br>(tCO2e) | Leakage Emissions<br>(tCO2e) | Emission Reductions<br>(tCO2e) |
|------------|----|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 09/05/2019 | to |                               |                              |                                |
| 31/12/2019 |    | 30,205                        | 1,510                        | 28,694                         |
| 01/01/2020 | to |                               |                              |                                |
| 08/05/2020 |    | 16,440                        | 822                          | 15,619                         |

#### E.5. Comparison of actual value of outcomes with estimates in approved PDD

| ltem | Values estimated in ex ante calculation of | Actual values achieved during this |  |
|------|--|------------------------------------|--|
|      | approved PDD                               | monitoring period                  |  |

| SDG 13 | 48,551  | 44,313   |
|--------|---|--|
| SDG 3  | 100% users affected with poor indoor<br>air quality, poor waste management<br>(cattle dung)and no employment<br>generation. | 96.5% users reported positive impact<br>in air quality and 88% users reported<br>positive impact on health from smoke<br>related diseases. Also 88% users<br>reported improved waste management<br>(cattle dung) due to the project<br>activity. |
| SDG 7  | 100% users were using firewood which<br>is not a clean source of energy   | 100% users agree that biogas digester<br>is clean and affordable energy source<br>compared to other available options.   |

### E.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in approved PDD

>> Decrease in emission reduction is due to firewood consumption by households during nonoperational period.

### SECTION F. Stakeholder inputs and legal disputes

# F.1. List all inputs/grievances which have been received for the project during the monitoring period together with their respective answers/actions

>> All grievances were related to minor repair issues of biogas systems which were resolved within 1-2 days and as per survey result the emission reductions are conservatively claimed. Dedicated field coordinators are responsible cluster wise and end users are provided with contact details so that they can contact immediately and issues are resolved. The ground level issues are reported back to AKKPS for compilation.

# F.2. List all inputs/grievances from previous monitoring period where follow up action is to be verified in this monitoring period

>> There was no input/grievance to follow up action.

# F.3. Provide details of any legal contest or dispute that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period

>> No legal contest or dispute has been arisen with the project during the monitoring period. This is because the project soes not require any regulatory approval. It happens at household level and household owners set up the biogas system with their own consent.